

United States Patent and Trademark Office



UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.
10/624,866	07/22/2003	Patrick J. Fitzgibbons	L0562.70046US00 7654	
	7590 08/16/2007	EXAMINER		
Randy J. Pritzker Wolf, Greenfield & Sacks, P.C.			HAGEMAN, MARK	
	600 Atlantic Avenue Boston, MA 02210		ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER
Boston, Witt 02	 10		3653	
			MAIL DATE	DELIVERY MODE
			08/16/2007	PAPER

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

The time period for reply, if any, is set in the attached communication.

Office Action Summary		Application No.	Applicant(s)				
		10/624,866	FITZGIBBONS ET AL.				
		Examiner	Art Unit				
		Mark Hageman	3653				
Period fo	The MAILING DATE of this communication ap or Reply	pears on the cover sheet with the c	correspondence a	ddress			
WHIC - Exte after - If NC - Failu Any	ORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REPLEMENTED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REPLEMENTS. LONGER, FROM THE MAILING Designs of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFR 1. SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication. Poperiod for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory period re to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by statute reply received by the Office later than three months after the mailing and patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).	DATE OF THIS COMMUNICATION 136(a). In no event, however, may a reply be tin will apply and will expire SIX (6) MONTHS from e, cause the application to become ABANDONE	N. nely filed the mailing date of this o D (35 U.S.C. § 133).	,			
Status							
1)⊠	Responsive to communication(s) filed on 16 J	lulv 2007.					
· —		s action is non-final.					
	<i>,</i> —						
	closed in accordance with the practice under Ex parte Quayle, 1935 C.D. 11, 453 O.G. 213.						
Dispositi	on of Claims						
4)⊠	4)⊠ Claim(s) <u>1-12 and 15</u> is/are pending in the application.						
	4a) Of the above claim(s) <u>15</u> is/are withdrawn from consideration.						
5)	5) Claim(s) is/are allowed.						
6)⊠	⊠ Claim(s) 1-12 is/are rejected.						
7)	Claim(s) is/are objected to.						
8)□	Claim(s) are subject to restriction and/o	or election requirement.					
Applicati	on Papers						
9)	The specification is objected to by the Examine	er.					
	10) The drawing(s) filed on is/are: a) accepted or b) objected to by the Examiner.						
	Applicant may not request that any objection to the drawing(s) be held in abeyance. See 37 CFR 1.85(a).						
	Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the correction is required if the drawing(s) is objected to. See 37 CFR 1.121(d).						
11)	The oath or declaration is objected to by the E	xaminer. Note the attached Office	Action or form P	TO-152.			
Priority ι	ınder 35 U.S.C. § 119						
	12) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(a)-(d) or (f). a) All b) Some * c) None of:						
	1. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received.						
	2. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received in Application No						
	3. Copies of the certified copies of the priority documents have been received in this National Stage						
	application from the International Bureau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)).						
* See the attached detailed Office action for a list of the certified copies not received.							
Attachmen	t(s)						
	e of References Cited (PTO-892)	4) Interview Summary					
	e of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948) nation Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO/SB/08)	Paper No(s)/Mail Da 5) Notice of Informal P					
	No(s)/Mail Date	6) Other:	· ·				

DETAILED ACTION

Continued Examination Under 37 CFR 1.114

1. A request for continued examination under 37 CFR 1.114, including the fee set forth in 37 CFR 1.17(e), was filed in this application after final rejection. Since this application is eligible for continued examination under 37 CFR 1.114, and the fee set forth in 37 CFR 1.17(e) has been timely paid, the finality of the previous Office action has been withdrawn pursuant to 37 CFR 1.114. Applicant's submission filed on 7-16-2007 has been entered.

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 102

2. The following is a quotation of the appropriate paragraphs of 35 U.S.C. 102 that form the basis for the rejections under this section made in this Office action:

A person shall be entitled to a patent unless -

- (e) the invention was described in (1) an application for patent, published under section 122(b), by another filed in the United States before the invention by the applicant for patent or (2) a patent granted on an application for patent by another filed in the United States before the invention by the applicant for patent, except that an international application filed under the treaty defined in section 351(a) shall have the effects for purposes of this subsection of an application filed in the United States only if the international application designated the United States and was published under Article 21(2) of such treaty in the English language.
- 3. Claims 1-12 and 15 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 102(e) as being anticipated by Morikawa. The reference discloses a method for sorting a plurality of items, to each of which a sequence number is assigned (col. 6, lines 7+), into a predetermined sorted sequence using a plurality of sorting regions (210, 230, 302, 303, 300), including for each sort, at least two initial sorting regions (210, 230), and at least two additional sorting regions (302, 303, 300), at least one of the additional sorting regions functioning

as a return region, the items being initially located, in an unsorted order, in the at least one initial sorting region, the method comprising the acts of: sorting the items in each of the at least two initial sorting regions into at least one intermediary sorted set by moving at least some of the items at least one of the initial sorting regions between the at least one initial sorting region and at least two of the additional sorting regions, such that two items from different initial sorting regions are sorted into the same intermediary sorted set; and sorting the items within each intermediary sorted set by moving at least some of the items to the return region in substantially the predetermined sorted sequence (col. 5, lines 25+). As set forth in the office action dated 4-2-2007 Examiner maintains that all the tray storage areas make up an intermediary sorted set. Examiner contends that the claim still lacks the requirement of items from two different initial sorting regions being intermixed. They only need to be part of an intermediary sorted set, which they are when the tray storage area (300) in whole is considered to contain the intermediary sorted set.

With regards to claim 2, the reference further discloses using a computer to track the location of each of the plurality of items (col. 5, lines 25+).

With regards to claim 3, the reference further discloses the items are sorted in a single pass (col. 5, lines 25+). Examiner contends that items are sorted to some extent in a single pass, even though the sort is not complete. The claim does not require, for example, a sort to a CWS in a single pass.

Application/Control Number: 10/624,866

Art Unit: 3653

With regards to claim 4, the reference further discloses conveying items from at least one of the return regions serially and in the predetermined sorted sequence (col. 5, lines 10+).

With regards to claim 5, the reference further discloses placing an identifier with each of the plurality of items (col. 6, lines 6+).

With regards to claim 6, the reference further discloses checking the identifier to ensure that the order of the items substantially matches the predetermined sorted sequence (col. 6, lines 6+).

With regards to claim 7, the reference further discloses the items are positioned linearly in the sorting regions (col. 5, lines 25+).

With regards to claim 8, the reference inherently discloses a computer is used to control the movement and positioning of the items according to a predetermined algorithm.

4. With regards to claim 9, the reference further discloses an apparatus for sorting a plurality of postal bins comprising: a plurality of sorting regions, wherein the plurality of sorting regions comprise for each sorting at least two initial regions (210, 230) in which the postal bins are initially located in an unsorted order, at least one return region (fig 12) in which postal bins are located after completion of sorting and at least one additional region (300) used in the sorting; a first mechanism (510, 520) for physically moving at least one postal bin between at least two selected sorting regions where the first mechanism is configured to move two items initially located in different initial regions into the same additional region; a second mechanism (c6 lines 3+) for physically

moving at least one item between positions within each sorting region; an postal bin location tracking mechanism; and a controls operative for controlling the first and second mechanisms to move the postal bins into a predetermined sorted sequence at least partly in response to the tracking mechanism (col. 5, lines 25+). Examiner contends that elements 510 and 520 in combination with the various conveyors are fully capable of moving mail from any initial region to any additional region. Examiner contends that the language, "where the first mechanism is configured to move two items initially located in different initial regions into the same additional region; a second mechanism," is functional and further contends as stated that the Morikawa device if fully capable of functioning in this manner.

With regards to claim 10, the reference further discloses at least some of the sorting regions are located one under another and wherein the first mechanism includes an elevator (510, 520)

With regards to claim 11, the reference further discloses the second mechanism is a conveyor (410, 490).

With regards to claim 12, the reference further inherently discloses the controls include a processor running a subroutine for issuing instructions according to a selected item sorting algorithm.

5. Claims 1, 3-5, 7, and 8 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 102(e) as being anticipated by US 7,012,211 to Brinkley. Brinkley discloses a method for sorting a plurality of items, to each of which a sequence number is assigned (c7 lines 64+) into a

Application/Control Number: 10/624,866

Art Unit: 3653

predetermined sorted sequence using a plurality of sorting regions (18, 14, 16, 20), including for each sort, at least two initial sorting regions (18 and c12 lines 4+)), and at least two additional sorting regions (14, 16, 20), at least one of the additional sorting regions functioning as a return region (20), the items being initially located, in an unsorted order, in the at least one initial sorting region (c12 lines 7+), the method comprising the acts of: sorting the items in each of the at least two initial sorting regions into at least one intermediary sorted set by moving at least some of the items at least one of the initial sorting regions between the at least one initial sorting region and at least two of the additional sorting regions, such that two items from different initial sorting regions are sorted into the same intermediary sorted set; and sorting the items within each intermediary sorted set by moving at least some of the items to the return region in substantially the predetermined sorted sequence (c7 lines 44+).

With regards to claim 3, the reference further discloses the items are sorted in a single pass (c1 lines 55+).

With regards to claim 4, the reference further discloses conveying items from at least one of the return regions serially and in the predetermined sorted sequence (c11 lines 37+).

With regards to claim 5, the reference further discloses placing an identifier with each of the plurality of items (c7 lines 63+).

With regards to claim 7, the reference further discloses the items are positioned linearly in the sorting regions (figures 1+).

Page 7

Art Unit: 3653

With regards to claim 8, the reference discloses a computer is used to control the movement and positioning of the items according to a predetermined algorithm (c7 lines 63+).

Response to Arguments

6. Applicant's arguments filed 7-16-2007 have been fully considered but they are not persuasive. Applicant stated that the amended language of the claims 1 and 9 provides further limitation and features, which Morikawa does not suggest. Examiner disagrees and as addressed above maintains that Morikawa anticipates the claims as amended. Interpretation of the Morikawa consistent with Final office action dated 4-2-2007 including the entire tray storage region (300) as the intermediary sorted set still anticipates the claims. Further relative to claim 9 examiner contends that the amended language is functional and Morikawa is fully capable of functioning in the claimed manner.

Conclusion

7. Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to Mark Hageman whose telephone number is (571) 272-3027. The examiner can normally be reached on M-F 7:30-4:00.

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, Patrick Mackey can be reached on (571) 272-6916. The fax phone number for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned is 571-273-8300.

Information regarding the status of an application may be obtained from the Patent Application Information Retrieval (PAIR) system. Status information for published applications may be obtained from either Private PAIR or Public PAIR. Status information for unpublished applications is available through Private PAIR only. For more information about the PAIR system, see http://pair-direct.uspto.gov. Should you have questions on access to the Private PAIR system, contact the Electronic Business Center (EBC) at 866-217-9197 (toll-free). If you would like assistance from a USPTO Customer Service Representative or access to the automated information system, call 800-786-9199 (IN USA OR CANADA) or 571-272-1000.

MCH

PATRICK MACKEY

PATRICK MACKEY

EXAMINER

SUPERVISORY PATENTER 3600